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## A Simple Problem

- Find $u(x)$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x) & =4 \\
u(-2) & =0 x \in(-2,2), \\
& u(2)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## A Simple Problem

- Find $u(x)$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)=4 & \forall x \in(-2,2) \\
u(-2)=0 & u(2)=0
\end{array}
$$

- Let's find a good approximation of $u(x)$ by using finite element methods.
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- The mesh (split) of the domain $[-2,2]$ :
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- The mesh (split) of the domain [-2,2]:

- Construct a vector space $V_{h}$ associated with this mesh.
- $w_{h} \in V_{h}$ if and only if

1. $w_{h}(x)$ is continuous on $[-2,2]$ and $w_{h}(-2)=0=w_{h}(2)$.
2. $w_{h}(x)$ is piecewise linear on all subintervals in the mesh.


## A Basis for $V_{h}$


(a) $\phi_{1}(x)$

(b) $\phi_{2}(x)$

(c) $\phi_{3}(x)$

$$
\phi_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

- $\left\{\phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x), \phi_{3}(x)\right\}$ form a basis of $V_{h}$.
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- $\left\{\phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x), \phi_{3}(x)\right\}$ form a basis of $V_{h}$.

1. Linear Independence
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c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+3 \phi_{3}(x)=0 \rightarrow c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0
$$

## A Basis for $V_{h}$


(g) $\phi_{1}(x)$

(h) $\phi_{2}(x)$

(i) $\phi_{3}(x)$

$$
\phi_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text { if } i=j\end{cases}
$$

- $\left\{\phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(x), \phi_{3}(x)\right\}$ form a basis of $V_{h}$.

1. Linear Independence

$$
c_{1} \phi_{1}(x)+c_{2} \phi_{2}(x)+3 \phi_{3}(x)=0 \rightarrow c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=0
$$

2. Span $v_{h}(x)=v_{h}(-1) \phi_{1}(x)+v_{h}(0) \phi_{2}(x)+v_{h}(1) \phi_{3}(x)$.
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- Find an approximation of $u(x)$ in $V_{h}$.
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## Finding the Finite Element Approximation $u_{h}(x)$

- The Discrete Problem: Find $u_{h}(x) \in V_{h}$ that satisfies

$$
\int_{-2}^{2} u_{h}^{\prime}(x) w_{h}^{\prime}(x) d x=\int_{-2}^{2} 4 w_{h}(x) d x \quad \forall w_{h}(x) \in V_{h}
$$

- $u_{h}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} \phi_{j}(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-2}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} \phi_{j}^{\prime}(x) w_{h}^{\prime}(x) d x & =\int_{-2}^{2} 4 w_{h}(x) d x & & \forall w_{h}(x) \in V_{h} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} \int_{-2}^{2} \phi_{j}^{\prime}(x) \phi_{i}^{\prime}(x) d x & =\int_{-2}^{2} 4 \phi_{i}(x) d x & & \forall i=1,2,3, \quad \text { since } \phi_{i} \in V_{h}, \\
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## Finding the Finite Element Approximation $u_{h}(x)$

- The Discrete Problem: Find $u_{h}(x) \in V_{h}$ that satisfies

$$
\int_{-2}^{2} u_{h}^{\prime}(x) w_{h}^{\prime}(x) d x=\int_{-2}^{2} 4 w_{h}(x) d x \quad \forall w_{h}(x) \in V_{h}
$$

- $u_{h}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} \phi_{j}(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-2}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} \phi_{j}^{\prime}(x) w_{h}^{\prime}(x) d x & =\int_{-2}^{2} 4 w_{h}(x) d x & & \forall w_{h}(x) \in V_{h} \\
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A c & =b & & 3 \times 3 \text { Matrix System } \\
A(i, j) & =\int_{-2}^{2} \phi_{j}^{\prime}(x) \phi_{i}^{\prime}(x) d x & & \forall i, j=1,2,3 \\
b_{i} & =\int_{-2}^{2} 4 \phi_{i}(x) d x & & \forall i=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
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- Solve $A c=b \Rightarrow u_{h}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} c_{j} \phi_{j}(x)$
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## Finding the Finite Element Approximation $u_{h}(x)$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad b=\left[\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
4 \\
4
\end{array}\right] \quad c=\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
8 \\
6
\end{array}\right]
$$

- $A$ is invertible, so $A c=b$ has a unique solution.
- Finite Element Approximation $u_{h}(x)=6 \phi_{1}(x)+8 \phi_{2}(x)+6 \phi_{3}(x)$

- Exact Solution $u(x)=-2 x^{2}+8$



## Finite Element Methods in One-Dimension

- $u_{h}$ becomes a better approximation of $u$ as $h$ gets smaller.


## Finite Element Methods in One-Dimension

- $u_{h}$ becomes a better approximation of $u$ as $h$ gets smaller.
- Example FEM Approximations (left) vs Exact Solution (right)




## Finite Element Methods in Two-Dimensions



## Finite Element Methods in Two-Dimensions



- Poisson equation: find $u(x, y)$ such that
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-\Delta u & =f \\
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\text { in } \Omega=(-1,1) \times(-1,1)
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\text { on } \partial \Omega \text {. }
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- Poisson equation: find $u(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta u & =f \\
u & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { in } \Omega=(-1,1) \times(-1,1) \text {, }
$$

$$
\text { on } \partial \Omega \text {. }
$$

- Find $u(x, y) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla w d A=\int_{\Omega} f w d A \quad \forall w \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

- Find $u_{h}(x, y) \in V_{h}$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{h} \nabla w_{h} d A=\int_{\Omega} f w_{h} d A \quad \forall w_{h} \in V_{h} .
$$
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## Finite Element Methods in Two-Dimensions

- The mesh (triangulation) of the domain:

- $w_{h}(x, y) \in V_{h}$ if and only if

1. $w_{h}$ is continuous on $\Omega$, and $w_{h}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$.
2. $w_{n}$ is piecewise linear on each triangle.

- Basis of $V_{h}$ :

- Dimension of $V_{h}$ for this mesh: 1


## Finite Element Methods in Two-Dimensions

- Another mesh (triangulation) of the domain:



## Finite Element Methods in Two-Dimensions

- Another mesh (triangulation) of the domain:

- Dimension of $V_{h}$ for this mesh: 5


## Finite Element Methods in Two-Dimensions

- Another mesh (triangulation) of the domain:

- Dimension of $V_{h}$ for this mesh: 5
- Some basis function pictures of $V_{h}$ :
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## FEMs for the Axisymmetric Maxwell Equations: Hepatic Microwave Ablation

- An alternative treatment to liver cancer.
- An experimental procedure in which an antenna is inserted through the skin or during surgery to induce cell necrosis through the heating of deep-seated tumors.
- Design of antennas : The electromagnetic power pattern should be highly localized near the tip of the antenna.
- Approximate the solution to the Axisymmetric Maxwell Equations to get an approximate electromagnetic power distribution for different antenna designs.


## FEMs for the Axisymmetric Maxwell Equations

Electromagnetic Power Distribution for Antenna Design:


## Singular Value Decomposition and Image Compression

- Singular Value Decomposition

$$
A_{m \times n}=U_{m \times m} \cdot \Sigma_{m \times n} \cdot V_{n \times n}^{\top}
$$

$U$ and $V$ : orthogonal matrices
$\Sigma$ : "diagonal" matrix with diagonal entries

$$
\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \sigma_{p} \geq 0, p=\min (m, n)
$$


$\xrightarrow{A}$


## Singular Value Decomposition and Image Compression

$$
A=\sigma_{1} \cdot{\overrightarrow{u_{1}}}_{1} \cdot{\overrightarrow{v_{1}}}^{T}+\sigma_{2} \cdot \overrightarrow{u_{2}} \cdot{\overrightarrow{v_{2}}}^{T} \cdots+\sigma_{n} \cdot \vec{u}_{n} \cdot{\overrightarrow{v_{n}}}^{T}
$$



## Singular Value Decomposition and Image Compression



## Thank you!



