Di-eigenals

Jennifer Galovich St. John's University/College of St. Benedict JMM 11 January, 2018

Geometric Interpretation of Eigenvalues in R²

Suppose

$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

It is easy to check that the eigenvalues of M are 7 and -4.

Geometer's Sketchpad shows Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors







All together now... the diagonals are the eigenvectors...right?



WRONG!!

I want a 2 x 2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ so that

(i) $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$ is an eigenvector

(ii) $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2$ is an eigenvector



I will call these di-eigenals

What works? 2 x 2 eigenstuff....

• Let M=
$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$
.

The eigenvalues of M are *a+b* and *a-b*.

The eigenvectors are $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, respectively.

The diagonals of the image are
$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b\\a+b \end{bmatrix} = (a+b) \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\begin{bmatrix} a-b\\b-a \end{bmatrix} = (a-b) \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$

Which is exactly what we wanted.

Doesn't Work
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Works!

Proposition:

Let M be a 2x2 matrix. Then M has a full set of di-eigenals iff M is of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ where $a+b \neq 0$ and $a-b \neq 0$

Proof: "If" follows immediately from the relevant definitions "Only if" : Set up the required system of equations and let Mathematica do the work.

On beyond two dimensions....

In two dimensions, we could find the di-eigenals and saw that this happened when the image of the unit square is a rhombus

What about *n=3*?

Problem 1: What do you call it?

Parallelimemboid



Rhombohedron

Problem 2: there are four diagonals and three eigenvectors.

4≠3

We want a 4x4 matrix whose eigenvectors are some four vectors in R⁴ that correspond to the diagonals of the image of the unit square under that action of the matrix.

What worked before? $M = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$
.

The sum of the columns gives one eigenvalue and the difference gives the other.

L

That is, the eigenvectors are $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ and the corresponding eigenvalues are $a+b = (a, b) \cdot (1, 1)$ and $a-b = (a - b) \cdot (1, -1)$

Generalize....

IDEA: Label each "vertex" as a string of 1s and 0s.

Diagonals are differences of the vertices, so they are strings of 0s, 1s and -1s.

Diagonals of interest (i.e., not edges or diagonals of faces) are those whose strings have no 0s.

Example:

(1,1,1,1) - (0,0,0,0) = (1,1,1,1)(1,0,1,1) - (0,1,0,0) = (1, -1, 1, 1)(1,0,1,1) - (0,1,1,1) = (1, -1, 0, 0)

These have potential

For n = 4, there are 16 vertices and 8 potential diagonals (we consider (1, -1,1, -1) equivalent to its negative, (-1, 1, -1, 1).

But we can't have any more than 4 di-eigenals.

How to choose?

Look for some structure...

Think of the vertices as elements of $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_2$ Let H be the subgroup:

Note that $K = \{(1,1,1,1), (0,0,0,0)\}$ is a subgroup of H.

Choose our four diagonals by pairing up the elements of the cosets of K in H and taking the differences. This gives

(1,1,1,1), (1,1,-1,-1), (1, -1, -1, 1) and (1, -1, -1, 1)

Are our diagonals actually di-eigenals?

YES!! Reverse engineering --

Choose the vectors

(1,1,1,1), (1,1,-1,-1), (1, -1, -1, 1) and (1, -1, 1, -1)

to be eigenvectors and the corresponding dot products

a+b+c+d, a+b-c-d, a-b-c+d, and a-b+c-d

to be the corresponding eigenvalues.

Let P be the matrix whose columns are these eigenvectors and D the diagonal matrix formed from the eigenvalues.

Let M = PDP⁻¹. And we're (almost) done!

And here it is: M=
$$\begin{array}{cccc} a & b & c & d \\ b & a & d & c \\ c & d & a & b \\ d & c & b & a \end{array}$$

We choose a, b, c, and d so that the eigenvalues are distinct and non-zero. This boils down to:

b±d≠0	$a+b+c+d\neq 0$
b±c≠0	a+b - (c+d) ≠ 0
c±d≠0	a+d - (b+c)≠ 0
	a+c - (b+d)≠ 0

The same plan works for n = 8...

(a	b	С	d	f	g	h	Ĵ
b	a	d	С	g	f	j	h
С	d	a	b	h	j	f	g
d	С	b	a	j	h	g	f
f	g	h	j	a	b	С	d
g	f	j	h	b	a	d	С
h	j	f	g	С	d	a	b
(j	h	g	f	d	С	b	a)

A pattern emerges....

• N= 2

(a	b	С	d	f	g	h	j١
b	a	d	С	g	f	j	h
С	d	a	b	h	j	f	g
d	С	b	a	j	h	g	f
f	g	h	j	a	b	С	d
g	f	j	h	b	a	d	С
h	j	f	g	С	d	a	b
lj	h	g	f	d	С	b	a /

General plan of attack....

- Find a full set of linearly independent vectors of 1s and -1s. Make them the columns of a matrix P. Take the dot product of each vector with $(x_1, x_2, ...)$ to get the corresponding eigenvalues and use them to make a diagonal matrix D. Compute M = PDP⁻¹.
- Choose (x₁,x₂,...) (the entries of M) so that the eigenvalues are distinct and non-zero.

Problem: How to choose that set of linearly independent vectors????

IDEA: The plan....

- Let B be the 2 x 2 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
- Create a 4 x 4 matrix P of eigenvectors by replicating B as follows:



Iterate!! (These are better known as Hadamard matrices.)

Back to n = 3...

After some experimentation, it turns out that any set of three vectors of 1s and -1s produces di-eigenals.

Set P =
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and eigenvalues {a+b+c,-a+b-c,-a-b+c} to produce
the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -a & a+c & a+b \\ -b+c & b & a+b \\ b-c & a+c & c \end{pmatrix}$ which has three di-eigenals.

Moreover, the same Hadamard trick produces di-eigenals for n = 6. ETC.

Questions to ponder....

1. By design, the Hadamard plan works, but are there other ways to make di-eigenals?

 The eigenvectors for the case n = 2^k are mutually orthogonal. Is that necessary?

3. Is there some simple way to describe the sets of matrix entries that give non-zero distinct eigenvalues? (assuming solutions exist...) If so, is there some interesting geometry associated with this complement of a union of hyperplanes?

Thanks! Thanks! Thanks! -Thanks!