Name:

Problem	1	2	3	4 / 5	Total
Possible	31	28	20	21	100
Received					

FOR FULL CREDIT, SHOW ALL WORK RELATED TO FINDING EACH SOLUTION.

There are several 2-point problems in which I change the numbers/conditions a bit. The idea is that you should be able to figure out the resulting change without reworking the entire problem, especially since you will not have time to rework the problem.

## Note: in the exam, CI means Confidence Interval.



Before giving out candy, the Gernsteads required that trick-or-treaters first watch a short video on dental care.



Deer Halloweens

- 31 points 1. In a sample of 100 children, 5 were found to be Vitamin D deficient. In your work below, use the Wilson-adjusted sample proportion  $\tilde{p}$  rather than  $\hat{p}$ .
  - /10 (a) Find a  $\underline{98\%}$  CI for the proportion of all children who are Vitamin D deficient.

/2 (b) If the sample were 10 of 200 (rather than 5 of 100) Vitamin D deficient, compared to the CI you found in (b), the CI would now be (circle one):

Narrower Wider Same

/6 (c) Suppose that we want to find a 95% CI whose total width is .04, that is, we want  $t_{\alpha/2} \cdot SE_{\tilde{p}} \leq .02$ . What sample size *n* would we need? In finding *n*, let's assume that we do not know what the sample proportion  $\tilde{p}$  will be.

Problem 1 continued

/9 (d) Suppose we believe that the true proportion of Vitamin D deficiency is .12 (i.e. 12%). Use the Chi-Square test with  $\alpha = 0.05$  to test  $H_0: p = .12$  with alternative  $H_A: p \neq .12$ .

- /2 (e) Using your work from (d), what is your conclusion if using alternative  $H_A: p > .12$ ?
- /2 (f) Using your work from (d), what is your conclusion if using alternative  $H_A: p < .12$ ?

	Pepperdine	USC	UCLA	Total
Male	50	30	20	100
Female	50	20	30	100
Total	100	50	50	200

28 points 2. The genders of random samples, one from each of three local schools, are given below.

/12 (a) Use a Chi-Square test to determine whether there is significant evidence that gender ratios differ at the three schools. Use  $\alpha = 0.10$ .

			Pepperdine	USC	UCLA
/2	(b) Suppose the results were instead as at right.	Male	50	40	10
	Which of the following would be true?	Female	50	10	40
	Just circle one of these four:				
	Larger $\chi_s^2$ and larger <i>P</i> Smaller	$\chi_s^2$ and	larger P		

Г

Larger  $\chi_s^2$  and smaller *P* 

Smaller  $\chi_s^2$  and smaller *P* 

/12 (c) Focusing now on <u>only Pepperdine and USC</u>, find a 95% CI for the difference between the proportions of Pepperdine and USC Male students.

	Pepperdine	USC
Male	50	30
Female	50	20
Total	100	50

(e) Suppose that the data were instead at right (samples doubled). /2 Co

mpared to the CI in (c)	, the new CI would be:
-------------------------	------------------------

	Pepperdine	USC
Male	100	60
Female	100	40

- 20 points 3. During a weight loss study, each of 7 subjects was given a particular drug that is meant to decrease hunger levels, as well as a placebo (at some other time, obviously). The hunger level data are shown at right. For problems on this page, we are assuming pairing and normal distribution.
  - /8 (a) Construct a 90% CI for the difference in mean difference in change.

Person	With Drug	With Placebo	Difference $(Y_1 - Y_2)$
1	82	81	1
2	65	54	11
3	52	72	-20
4	15	25	-10
5	61	101	-40
6	107	99	8
7	77	114	-37
Mean	65.6	78.0	-12.4
SD	28.5	30.8	20.7

- /4 (b) For the CI you just found, approximately how large would the sample size have needed to be so that the margin of error  $t_{\alpha/2} \cdot SE_{\overline{D}}$  would have been  $\leq 5$ ?
- /6 (c) Compare the Drug and Placebo populations using a t-test at  $\alpha = 0.10$ . Use a **non-directional** alternative. What do you conclude about *Drug vs. Placebo*: is there significant evidence that the drug changes hunger level?

/2 (d) Using the work from (c), is there significant evidence that the drug <u>decreases</u> hunger level? (So this is now a directional test.)

Person	With Drug	With Placebo	Difference $(Y_1 - Y_2)$
1	82	81	1
2	65	54	11
3	52	72	-20
4	15	25	-10
5	61	101	-40
6	107	99	8
7	77	114	-37
Mean	65.6	78.0	-12.4
SD	28.5	30.8	20.7

## 14 points 4. Same data as Problem 3. <u>Assume pairing still, but do</u> <u>NOT assume normal distribution.</u>

/8 (a) Perform a Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test with  $\alpha = .10$  to determine whether or not the drug affects hunger level.

/2	(b) If Person 1 with Dr	rug were 83 1	ather than 82,	how would <i>W<sub>s</sub></i> change?	$W_s$ would be:
		Larger	Smaller	No change	

- /2(c) If Person 1 with Drug were 80 rather than 82, how would  $W_s$  change?  $W_s$  would be:LargerSmallerNo change
- /2 (d) If Person 1 with Drug were 90 rather than 82, how would  $W_s$  change?  $W_s$  would be: Larger Smaller No change
- 7 points 5. A study was conducted to determine whether a woman's risk of transmitting HIV to her unborn child is different for the younger vs. the older the child. A sample of 100 HIVinfected women who gave birth to two children found that, when only one of the two siblings had HIV, it occurred in 7 of the 100 older siblings and in 15 of the younger siblings, as shown in the table below.

	Younger sibling HIV?		
		Yes	No
Older gibling UIV2	Yes	2	7
Older stolling HIV?	No	15	76

- /5 (a) We are not interested in the case when **both** siblings are HIV positive or the case when **both** are not HIV positive. We are only interested in the two cases in which <u>one sibling</u> is HIV positive and the other is not (the 7 and 15). Test the hypothesis  $H_0$ : the probability of being HIV positive is the same for older siblings as it is for younger siblings along with the alternative  $H_A$ : the probabilities are different. Use  $\alpha = .10$ .
- /2 (b) Test the hypothesis if  $H_A$  is <u>directional</u>, that the younger sibling (15 in the table) is <u>more</u> likely than the older sibling to have HIV. Use  $\alpha = .10$ .